

1 DAN SIEGEL, SBN 56400
2 DEAN ROYER, SBN 233292
3 SIEGEL & YEE
4 499 14th Street, Suite 220
Oakland, California 94612
Telephone: (510) 839-1200
Facsimile: (510) 444-6698
5

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff
DONNIE COX
7

8 ROBERT L. ZALETEL, SEN 096262
LITTLER MENDELSON
9 A Professional Corporation
650 California Street
10 20th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94108-2693
11 Telephone: 415.433.1940
12 Fax No.: 415.399.8490

13 Attorneys for Defendant
14 ELECTRONIC DATA SYSTEMS
CORPORATION
15
16

17 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE**
18 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

20 DONNIE COX, } Case No. CV-08-3927 WHA
21 Plaintiff, }
22 vs. } **STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]**
23 ELECTRONIC DATA SYSTEMS } **ORDER TO CONTINUE INITIAL CASE**
24 CORPORATION; and DOES 1 through 10, } **MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE**
25 inclusive, }
26 Defendants. }
27
28

1 It is hereby stipulated by and between the parties to this action, plaintiff Donnie Cox, and
2 his attorneys, and defendant Electronic Data Systems Corporation, and its attorneys, as follows:

3 1. On August 18, 2008, this Court issued its order setting initial case management
4 conference and ADR deadlines. Said order set the initial case management conference for
5 December 4, 2008 at 11:00 a.m.

6 2. Plaintiff Cox's counsel are the counsel of record in *Susan Bradford and Lavona*
7 *Stanley v. Dirk Winter, et al.*, case no. CV070354, venued in the San Luis Obispo County
8 Superior Court.

9 3. During a January 31, 2008 case management conference, the jury trial for case no.
10 CV070354 was set to begin on December 1, 2008. Said trial is expected to take approximately
11 two weeks to complete.

12 4. Based on the foregoing, the parties request that the initial case management
13 conference in this matter be continued to January 8, 2009 at 11:00 a.m.

15 Dated: September 2, 2008

SIEGEL & YEE

17 By: /S/
18 Dean Royer

19 Attorneys for plaintiff
20 DONNIE COX

21 Dated: September 2, 2008

LITTLER MENDELSON

23 By: /S/ 
24 ROBERT L. ZALETEL

25 Attorneys for defendant
26 ELECTRONIC DATA SYSTEMS
27 CORPORATION

28 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED,

1 Dated: _____

2
3 JUDGE OF THE U.S. DISTRICT
4 COURT
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28